that racism based on hatred of animals served not only to legitimatize in the Christian mind the enslavement of another people, but that the racist subjugation of African people offered peace of mind that the beast in humans was under control:

"...The continuum between animals and people is felt by many. Small wonder then that the keeping and raising of animals had wide-ranging effects on the customs, art, and psyche of human society.

"...Now humans violated animals by making them their slaves. In taking them in and feeding them, humans first made friends with animals and then killed them. To do so, they had to kill some sensitivity in themselves. When they began manipulating the reproduction of animals, they were even more personally involved in practices which led to cruelty, guilt and subsequent numbness. The keeping of animals would seem to have set a model for the enslavement of humans, in particular the large-scale exploitation of women captives for breeding and labor, which is a salient feature of the developing civilizations."

Other feminist writers see the connection between animal exploitation and human oppression, and more than a few advocate ethical vegetarianism along with feminism. In her book, The Violent Sex (Guerneville, California: Bluestocking Books, 1978) Laurel Holliday writes:

"Peter Singer has presented the case (for vegetarianism) with the utmost philosophical clarity... My purpose here is not to recruit vegetarians so "primitive" in their customs! (emphasis in original)

In the introduction to their excellent vegetarian cookbook, The Political Palate (Bridgeport, Connecticut: Sanguinaria Publishing, 1980) the women of The Bloodroot Collective explain the reasons for their diet:

"Our food is vegetarian because we are feminists. We are opposed to the exploitation, domination, and destruction which come from factory farming and the hunter with the gun. We oppose the keeping and killing of animals for the pleasure of the palate just as we oppose men controlling abortion or sterilization. We won't be part of the torture and killing of animals."

In their search to understand the roots of their own oppression, these feminists see the significant relationship between animal subjugation and human social relations—a relationship that our movement would do well to better illuminate. They note well how once animal subjugation, exploitation and the hatreds that go with them come to be legitimized in a culture they can be directed elsewhere. Indeed, the severest degrees of hatred and oppression of Blacks, Jews, Orientals and other "races" are still rationalized on the grounds that these humans are "just animals" and not entitled to moral consideration.

Behavior and Weight Loss of Feeder Calves in a Railcar Modified for Feeding and Watering in Transit


The behavior of 164kg Angus and Hereford calves was studied in a double deck 26m x 2.6m "jumbo" railcar equipped with feed and water. A 4,180 liter water tank positioned in the center of each deck divided the car into four compartments. Fifty head were loaded into the lower and upper forward compartment (252kg/m² floor space), each containing 675kg of hay in racks. The two rear compartments served as quarters for equipment and researchers. Two video cameras were mounted in the upper forward compartment containing calves. Behavior of the calves was monitored, with portions video taped during rail transport from Memphis, Tennessee to Amarillo, Texas (57 hr) in June, 1979. The calves commenced eating and drinking immediately after being loaded in the railcar. Up to 75% of the cattle could lie down while the car was not in motion (14.4 hr of trip). Calves stood at high speeds (80km/hr) on unimproved track but continued to eat, drink and move about. Self and mutual grooming commonly occurred while traveling up to 40km/hr. Railcar temperature and relative humidity ranged from 17.8 to 41.1 °C and 54 to 99%, respectively, and was identical to outside. Weight loss for 50 similar calves shipped by truck was 10.6% while rail calves lost 4.5% during truck transport to the railcar (71.3 hr) and 2% during rail transport for a total of 6.6%. Average daily gain (ADG) from initial weight to 7 days postshipment was 45kg for rail and 0.2kg for truck, but ADG became similar at 30 days indicating full recovery. One truck calf was dead on arrival and 8% of the truck and 5% of the rail calves were treated for shipping fever. Excluding feedcosts, rail transport at 252kg/m² floor space costs 30% less per calf than transport in fully loaded trucks.

Introduction

The United States' first federal animal welfare law was enacted in 1883 and was known as the "28-hour" law. It regulated, relatively ineffectively, the interstate transportation of livestock by railroad and ship. The present version of the "28-hour" law, (Public Law No. 340), was enacted in 1906 and has been very ineffective (the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA, 1978), Public Law No. 340 states in part:

"That no railroad, or the owners or masters of steam, sailing, or other vessels carrying or transporting... (animals) shall confine the same in cars, boats, or vessels of any description for a period longer than twenty-eight days indicating full recovery. One truck calf was dead on arrival and 8% of the truck and 5% of the rail calves were treated for shipping fever. Excluding feedcosts, rail transport at 252kg/m² floor space costs 30% less per calf than transport in fully loaded trucks.

"Introduction"

The United States' first federal animal welfare law was enacted in 1883 and was known as the "28-hour" law. It regulated, relatively ineffectively, the interstate transportation of livestock by railroad and ship. The present version of the "28-hour" law, (Public Law No. 340), was enacted in 1906 and has been very ineffective (the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA, 1978), Public Law No. 340 states in part:

"That no railroad, or the owners or masters of steam, sailing, or other vessels carrying or transporting... (animals) shall confine the same in cars, boats, or vessels of any description for a period longer than twenty-eight
consecutive hours without unloading the same in a humane manner, into properly equipped pens for rest, water, and feeding for a period of at least five consecutive hours, ...”

Section three states in part:

“That when animals are carried in cars, boats, or other vessels in which they can and do have proper food, water, space, and opportunity to rest the provisions in regard to their being unloaded shall not apply.”

Motor transport was excluded because it had not come into general use in 1906. The “28-hour” law has never been amended to include animals moved interstate in trucks. Due to the increased mobility and speed of trucks and the added economic burden on the railroads of conforming to the “28-hour” law, essentially all livestock in the USA are now transported by truck.

Recent increases in the cost of energy are making transport of animals by rail, which has at least a four-to-one fuel efficiency advantage over trucks (Clark, 1979), more attractive. Most railroads in the United States refuse to transport livestock, citing the high costs of labor necessary to conform to the “28-hour” law, the switching required to unload cattle cars, and a lack of cattle handling facilities. There is also some evidence that rest stops can be more detrimental to the animals than shipping direct (Kilgour, 1978).

The purpose of this study was to monitor the behavior of calves shipped in a railcar equipped with feed and water. Density was planned to allow the cattle to lie down. These data would then be used to determine if this method of transport conformed to the intent of the “28-hour” law.

Procedure

Railcar

The double-deck 26m by 2.6m “jumbo” railcar had a 4,180 liter water tank placed against a side wall in the center of each deck. A door extended from the tank to the opposite wall, dividing each deck into two compartments. There was one drinking well on each side of the tank. Water level in the well was controlled by a self-filling vacuum system. A float board was used in each well to prevent splashing.

Inside height of the bottom deck was 1.7m and upper deck, 1.8m. The floor of the top deck consisted of alternating 14cm wide strips of flat steel and wood running across the car with the wood being beveled and extending 2cm above the steel. Excrement from the top deck could not drain onto the lower deck. The all wood bottom deck was similar in configuration.

Twelve temperature probes were placed in and around the cattle compartments. Fig. 1 shows location of the water tank, a television camera, and hay racks. A door extended from the tank to monitor the activity of animals as they moved from one end of the car to the other. However, it was difficult to identify calves when they were midway between the cameras due to the low camera angle. Activity was continuously monitored during transport with portions recorded for later analysis at 18 hr intervals using NEC VC-7505* 1/4 inch cassette time lapse recorder. Minor frequency fluctuations in the electrical generator prevented use of slower time lapse speeds, i.e., 36 or 72 hr tape.

Direct observations were also made through the door separating the compartments while traveling and through the sides of the car while stationary.

Experimental animals

One hundred and fifty Angus and Hereford steer and heifer calves averaging 164kg were obtained in Newport, Tennessee. They were fed a corn silage-based 16% crude protein conditioning ration in a feedlot for sixty days prior to transport. Fifty randomly selected calves were loaded into a conventional cattle truck and transported directly to the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station feedlot at Bushland, Texas. The calves were placed in compartments at the same density as if the truck had a “typical” full load. The remaining calves were transported by truck to Memphis, Tennessee where they were again weighed and immediately transferred to the railcar. Fifty head were loaded in the forward half of each deck (252kg/m² of floor space). This was 8.2kg/m² less than the load density recommended by Ashby and Landridge (1970) for export shipments of less than seventy-two hours duration. Large

*NEC America, Inc., El Grove Village, Illinois.

Figure 1. Water tank, hayracks, television camera, and floodlights taken from the middle of the cattle compartment looking toward the compartment used for living quarters. The door dividing the two compartments at the water tank is open.

Behavioral observations

Video cameras were installed in the top forward compartment. Camera #1 was mounted on top of the water tank (Fig. 1). A convex mirror mounted on the ceiling and positioned to appear in the top of the video picture provided a view of the waterer directly beneath the camera. Camera #2 was mounted in the forward part of the car to monitor the activity of animals as they moved from one end of the car to the other. However, it was difficult to identify calves when they were midway between the cameras due to the low camera angle. Activity was continuously monitored during transport with portions recorded for later analysis at 18 hr intervals using NEC VC-7505* 1/4 inch cassette time lapse recorder. Minor frequency fluctuations in the electrical generator prevented use of slower time lapse speeds, i.e., 36 or 72 hr tape.

Direct observations were also made through the door separating the compartments while traveling and through the sides of the car while stationary.

Experimental animals

One hundred and fifty Angus and Hereford steer and heifer calves averaging 164kg were obtained in Newport, Tennessee. They were fed a corn silage-based 16% crude protein conditioning ration in a feedlot for sixty days prior to transport. Fifty randomly selected calves were loaded into a conventional cattle truck and transported directly to the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station feedlot at Bushland, Texas. The calves were placed in compartments at the same density as if the truck had a “typical” full load. The remaining calves were transported by truck to Memphis, Tennessee where they were again weighed and immediately transferred to the railcar. Fifty head were loaded in the forward half of each deck (252kg/m² of floor space). This was 8.2kg/m² less than the load density recommended by Ashby and Landridge (1970) for export shipments of less than seventy-two hours duration. Large
consecutive hours without unloading the same in a humane manner, into properly equipped pens for rest, water, and feeding for a period of at least five consecutive hours, "..."

Section three states in part:

"That when animals are carried in cars, boats, or other vessels in which they can and do have proper food, water, space, and opportunity to rest the provisions in regard to their being unloaded shall not apply."

Motor transport was excluded because it had not come into general use in 1906. The "28-hour" law has never been amended to include animals moved interstate in trucks. Due to the increased mobility and speed of trucks and the added economic burden on the railroads of conforming to the "28-hour" law, essentially all livestock in the USA are now transported by truck.

Recent increases in the cost of energy are making transport of animals by rail, which has at least a four-to-one fuel efficiency advantage over trucks (Clark, 1979), more attractive. Most railroads in the US refuse to transport livestock, citing the high costs of labor necessary to conform to the "28-hour" law, the switching required to unload cattle cars, and a lack of cattle handling facilities. There is also some evidence that rest stops can be more detrimental to the animals than shipping direct (Kilgour, 1978).

The purpose of this study was to monitor the behavior of calves shipped in a railcar equipped with feed and water. Density was planned to allow the cattle to lie down. These data would then be used to determine if this method of transport conformed to the intent of the "28-hour" law.

Procedure

Railcar

The double-deck 26m by 2.6m "jumbo" railcar had a 4,180 liter water tank placed against a side wall in the center of each deck. A door extended from the tank to the opposite wall, dividing each deck into two compartments. There was one drinking well on each side of the tank. Water level in the well was controlled by a self-filling vacuum system. A float board was used in each well to prevent spilling.

Inside height of the bottom deck was 1.7m and upper deck, 1.8m. The floor of the top deck consisted of alternating 14cm wide strips of flat steel and wood running across the car with the wood being beveled and extending 2cm above the steel. Excrement from the top deck could not drain onto the lower deck. The all wood bottom deck was similar in configuration.

Twelve temperature probes were placed in and around the cattle compartments. Fig. 1 shows location of the water tank, a television camera, and hay racks. The purpose of this study was to monitor the activity of animals as they moved from one end of the car to the other. However, it was difficult to identify calves when they were midway between the cameras due to the low camera angle. Activity was continuously monitored during transport with portions recorded for later analysis at 18 hr/1 hr video tape using NEC VC-7505* 1/4 inch cassette time lapse recorder. Minor frequency fluctuations in the electrical generator prevented use of slower time lapse speeds, i.e., 36 or 72 hr tape.

Direct observations were also made through the door separating the compartments while traveling and through the sides of the car while stationary.

Experimental animals

One hundred and fifty Angus and Hereford steer and heifer calves averaging 164kg were obtained in Newport, Tennessee. They were fed a corn silage-based 16% crude protein conditioning ration in a feedlot for sixty days prior to transport. Fifty randomly selected calves were loaded into a conventional cattle truck and transported directly to the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station feedlot at Bushland, Texas. The calves were placed in compartments at the same density as the truck if the car had a "typical" full load. The remaining calves were transported by truck to Memphis, Tennessee where they were again weighed and immediately transferred to the railcar. Fifty head were loaded in the forward half of each deck (252kg/m2 of floor space). This was 8.2kg/m2 less than the load density recommended by Ashby and Landridge (1970) for export shipments of less than seventy-two hours duration. Large

*NEC America, Inc., El Grove Village, Illinois.
numbered tags were glued on the backs of ten randomly selected calves loaded on the top deck to serve as representative samples. The calves remained in the railcar until they reached Amarillo, Texas where they were trucked (.75 hr) to the feedlot at Bushland. The calves were weighed after 0, 7, 14, 28 and 48 days in the feedlot. Data were subjected to analysis of variance.

Results

The calves were in the railcar a total of fifty-seven hours. Time spent in transit, distance traveled and speed of the different phases of the shipment are summarized in Table I.

Calves were loaded in the railcar on June 26 at 1800 hours and immediately commenced eating hay and drinking water. Thirteen different calves drank during the first thirty minutes, reflecting the water deprivation during transport to the railcar. The calves remained highly active (exploratory behavior) for the first two hours after loading, with many animals moving from one end of the compartment to the other in less than fifteen minutes. The first animal was observed lying down at 2000 hrs. At 2233 hrs two-thirds of the calves on the bottom deck were lying down. None were lying down on the upper deck, but these were disturbed several times by personnel checking equipment.

The calves continued to eat hay and drink regardless of the smoothness of the ride. Thirty-six different calves drank during an hour of the roughest ride experienced. When the car was standing still, hay and water consumption was greatly reduced as calves lay down to rest. Only one calf drank during the last 30 minutes of a 4 hr period, when the car was stopped. This occurred after 46 hrs in the railcar, and the highest temperatures were recorded during this stop. When the car started moving, the calves stood up and commenced eating and drinking. Apparent hay (5.1 kg) and water (21 liters) consumption per head per day was normal (National Research Council, 1976). There was little wastage of hay, and the float board in the waterer was very effective in preventing splashing.

Five mounting attempts and frequent self and mutual grooming were observed. Social grooming occurred even while the train was moving at moderate speeds. Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the activity patterns of four calves under varying conditions. Calves traveled the full length of the compartment in as little as 12 minutes (Fig. 5). Animal density (.65 m²/head) permitted 75% of the animals to lie down at one time.
The calves were in the railcar a total of fifty-seven hours. Time spent in transit, distance traveled and speed of the different phases of the shipment are summarized in Table I.

Calves were loaded in the railcar on June 26 at 1800 hours and immediately commenced eating hay and drinking water. Thirteen different calves drank during the first thirty minutes, reflecting the water deprivation during transport to the railcar. The calves remained highly active (exploratory behavior) for the first two hours after loading, with many animals moving from one end of the compartment to the other in less than fifteen minutes. The first animal was observed lying down at 2000 hrs. At 2233 hrs two-thirds of the calves on the bottom deck were lying down. None were lying down on the upper deck, but these were disturbed several times by personnel checking equipment.

Table I. Distance Traveled, Average Speed, and Time Calves Spent on Trucks and Railcar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Average Speed (km/hr)</th>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Newport to Memphis)</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railcar (Memphis to Amarillo)</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Amarillo to Bushland)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck (Newport to Bushland)</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calves continued to eat hay and drink regardless of the smoothness of the ride. Thirty-six different calves drank during an hour of the roughest ride experienced. When the car was standing still, hay and water consumption was greatly reduced as calves lay down to rest. Only one calf drank during the last 30 minutes of a 4 hr period, when the car was stopped. This occurred after 46 hrs in the railcar, and the highest temperatures were recorded during this stop. When the car started moving, the calves stood up and commenced eating and drinking. Apparent hay (5.1 kg) and water (21 liters) consumption per head per day was normal (National Research Council, 1976). There was little wastage of hay, and the float board in the waterer was very effective in preventing splashing.

Five mounting attempts and frequent self and mutual grooming were observed. Social grooming occurred even while the train was moving at moderate speeds. Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the activity patterns of four calves under varying conditions. Calves traveled the full length of the compartment in as little as 12 minutes (Fig. 5). Animal density (65 m²/ 1 head) permitted 75% of the animals to lie down at one time.
when the car was still, which accounted for 14.3 hours of the trip. Many calves continued to lie when the train was moving at slow to moderate speeds (15-30 km/hr).

Ambient temperature and relative humidity ranged from 17.8 to 41.1°C and 54 to 99%, respectively. Temperature inside the car was identical with outside temperature, due to the open sides of the car. The maximum temperature (41.1°C) occurred when the car was stopped in a railyard. The calves showed no heat stress despite the presence of only a slight breeze.

Weight loss of calves shipped by truck was 10.6%, and was similar to that reported in other studies (Table II). The rail shipment had a weight loss rate which was 6.6% lower (P<.01) than the truck shipment (4.5% during truck transport to the train, and 2.1% during rail transport and the truck ride to Bushland). Since the calves could not be weighed until after being trucked to Bushland, some of the 2.1% loss attributed to the railcar may have been caused by truck transport from Amarillo to Bushland. Four of fifty calves in the truck shipment and five of the 100 rail calves were treated for shipping fever after arrival at Bushland. Four of fifty calves in the truck shipment and five of the 100 rail calves were treated for shipping fever after arrival at Bushland. One calf in the truck shipment was dead on arrival (pneumonia). The rail calves also had a significantly higher average daily gain at 7 and 14 days post-transit, but there was no difference after 28-48 days (Table III).

TABLE II. Typical Weight Loss (Shrink) of Cattle Transported by Truck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of Animals</th>
<th>Distance Shipped (km)</th>
<th>Shrink (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addis and Crenshaw (1969)</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self and Gay (1972)</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embry et al. (1964)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hale et al. (1967)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cattle originating from sale yards, shipped during the summer.

The railroad charged $1.02/km, while truck transport cost $3.86/km. Assuming 200 calves were transported in the railcar at the density used in this study (50 calves in each compartment) and the trucks were carrying a "typical" full load of 164 kg calves (100 head each), transport by rail would save 30% per km transported. When the cost of truck transport (39% of the distance moved was by truck) and all feed costs are included, there was an overall savings of 21% or $717 compared to shipment solely by truck.

Discussion

The calves appeared relatively uninhibited while in the railcar. They ate readily, each of the tagged animals drank several times a day, and self and mutual grooming occurred frequently. This indicates that the environment in the railcar was not overly stressful.

Optimal density in regard to behavioral factors should be determined. Density must be expressed on a weight basis rather than by number of animals to account for differences in animal size. There is also a strong possibility that the relationship between weight and space requirements may not be linear, especially for extremely light and heavy cattle. Excessive density would inhibit movement to the waterer and feeder and reduce or prevent lying. Cattle will resist lying as long as possible under crowded conditions. Animals are trampled when fatigue forces them to lie down and an excessive density does not leave them enough maneuvering room to get up. Our subjective observations indicate onset of fatigue after 14 hours. This would vary with the age and condition of the calves and the mode of transport. Kilgour and Mullord (1973) judged 13 hours of continuous travel to be the critical time for weaner calves in trucks, while Sutton et al. (1967) concluded that 24 hours was the maximum for 360 kg oxen in railcars.

The calves were remarkably adept at maintaining their balance and conducting "normal" activity even when the ride was very rough. During severe jolts that occurred when the train's brakes locked, the cattle were thrown forward but received support from the other cattle due to the relatively high density. The animal that fell was at the waterer (rear most part of the compartment) and did not receive support from other calves.

Limiting group size is important to insure calves are not crushed by the combined force of herd mates during sudden jolts of the car or when loading and unloading. Ashby et al. (1977) found forty to be an acceptable group size for transport of sheep in aircraft, while sixty was too large. These authors concluded that group

Figure 3. Activity of calf #5 from 21:47-23:00 hr on June 26. Calves were loaded on railcar on June 26 at 1800 hr. The train started moving slowly through the yard at 2300 hr.
when the car was still, which accounted for 14.3 hours of the trip. Many calves continued to lie when the train was moving at slow to moderate speeds (15-30km/hr).

Ambient temperature and relative humidity ranged from 17.8 to 41.1°C and 54 to 99%, respectively. Temperature inside the car was identical with outside temperature, due to the open sides of the car. The maximum temperature (41.1°C) occurred when the car was stopped in a railyard. The calves showed no heat stress despite the presence of only a slight breeze.

Weight loss of calves shipped by truck was 10.6%, and was similar to that reported in other studies (Table II). The rail shipment had a weight loss rate which was 6.6% lower (P <.01) than the truck shipment (4.5% during truck transport to the train, and 2.1% during rail transport and the truck ride to Bushland). Since the calves could not be weighed until after being trucked to Bushland, some of the 2.1% loss attributed to the railyard may have been caused by transport from Amarillo to Bushland. Four of fifty calves in the truck shipment and five of the 100 rail calves were treated for shipping fever after arrival at Bushland. Four of the 50 calves in each compartment arrived dead on arrival (pneumonia). The rail calves also had a significantly higher average daily gain at 7 and 14 days post-transit, but there was no difference after 28-48 days (Table III).

**TABLE II. Typical Weight Loss (Shrink) of Cattle Transported by Truck**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of Animals</th>
<th>Distance Shipped (km)</th>
<th>Shrink %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addis and Crenshaw (1969)</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self and Gay (1972)*</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embry et al. (1968)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hare et al. (1967)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cattle originating from sale yards, shipped during the summer.

**TABLE III. Average Daily Gain From Preshipment Weight (Actual Pay Weight) for Calves Transported by Rail and Truck**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Average Daily Gain (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preshipment—7 days on feed1</td>
<td>63 ± 1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preshipment—14 days on feed2</td>
<td>73 ± 1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preshipment—28 days on feed</td>
<td>79 ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preshipment—48 days on feed</td>
<td>86 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Rail differed from truck, P <.05.
2Rail differed from truck, P <.04.

The railroad charged $1.02/km, while truck transport cost $.86/km. Assuming 200 calves were transported in the railyard at the density used in this study (50 calves in each compartment) and the trucks were carrying a “typical” full load of 164kg calves (100 head each), transport by rail would save 30% per km transported. When the cost of truck transport (39% of the distance moved was by truck) and all feed costs are included, there was an overall savings of 21% or $717 compared to shipment solely by truck.

**Discussion**

The calves appeared relatively uninhibited while in the railyard. They ate readily, each of the tagged animals drank several times a day, and self and mutual grooming occurred frequently. This indicates that the environment in the railyard was not overly stressful.

Optimal density in regard to behavioral factors should be determined. Density must be expressed on a weight basis rather than by number of animals to account for differences in animal size. There is also a strong possibility that the relationship between weight and space requirements may not be linear, especially for extremely light and heavy cattle. Excessive density would inhibit movement to the waterer and feeder and reduce or prevent lying. Cattle will resist lying as long as possible under crowded conditions. Animals are trampled when fatigue forces them to lie down and an excessive density does not leave them enough maneuvering room to get up. Our subjective observations indicate onset of fatigue after 14 hours. This would vary with the age and condition of the calves and the mode of transport. Kilgour and Mullard (1973) judged 13 hours of continuous travel to be the critical time for weaner calves in trucks, while Sutton et al. (1967) concluded that 24 hours was the maximum for 360kg oxen in railyards.

The calves were remarkably adept at maintaining their balance and conducting “normal” activity even when the ride was very rough. During severe jolts that occurred when the train's brakes locked, the cattle were thrown forward but received support from the other cattle due to the relatively high density. The animal that fell was at the waterer (rear most part of the compartment) and did not receive support from other calves.

Limiting group size is important to insure calves are not crushed by the combined force of herd mates during sudden jolts of the car or when loading and unloading. Ashby et al. (1977) found forty to be an acceptable group size for transport of sheep in aircraft, while sixty was too large. These authors concluded that group...
size seemed more important than density. Bailey (1979) recommends group sizes of up to forty for cattle under 315 kg and twenty for animals exceeding that weight, based on research with ocean shipments. The group size of fifty used in this study for 164 kg animals was probably marginal; however, more research is needed in this area.

The lack of any special orientation of our calves is in contrast to two rail shipments of oxen observed by Sutton et al. (1967). A pronounced parallel orientation perpendicular to the direction of travel was observed while the train was moving. They did not, however, include data on density (though it was probably much greater than in this study), or where the car was placed on the train. An important consideration may be the amount of sway (caused by condition of the track) and the amount of slap occurring during changes in speed.

Conclusions

Transport by rail in cars with feed and water with adequate space appears to have some advantage over transport by truck. The calves were transported at a savings in cost and reduced weight loss. The difference in weight loss, however, was only temporary. The truck calves fully compensated in approximately 30 days. A major problem with rail transport is the increased time in transit and the possibility of extended delays. The use of unit trains (composed entirely of cattle) would greatly reduce transit time. Cattle at the density and weight used in this study could have stayed on the car much longer without ill effect based on their appearance; however, hay and water would have had to be replenished.
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size seemed more important than density. Bailey (1979) recommends group sizes of up to forty for cattle under 315 kg and twenty for animals exceeding that weight, based on research with ocean shipments. The group size of fifty used in this study size seemed more important than density. However, more research is needed in this area.

The lack of any special orientation of our calves is in contrast to two rail shipments of oxen observed by Sutton et al. (1967). A pronounced parallel orientation perpendicular to the direction of travel was observed while the train was moving. They did not, however, include data on density (though it was probably much greater than in this study), or where the car was placed on the train. An important consideration may be the amount of sway (caused by condition of the track) and the amount of slap occurring during changes in speed.

Conclusions

Transport by rail in cars with feed and water with adequate space appears to have some advantage over transport by truck. The calves were transported at a savings in cost and reduced weight loss. The difference in weight loss, however, was only temporary. The truck calves fully compensated in approximately 30 days. A major problem with rail transport is the increased time in transit and the possibility of extended delays. The use of unit trains (composed entirely of cattle) would greatly reduce transit time. Cattle at the density and weight used in this study could have stayed on the car much longer without ill effect based on their appearance; however, hay and water would have had to be replenished.
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