

Time to (finally) acknowledge that fish have emotionality and pain

Commentary on [Sneddon et al.](#) on *Sentience Denial*

Konstantin A. Demin^{1,2}, **Anton M. Lakstygal**^{1,3} and **Allan V. Kalueff**^{4,5,6,7,8,9,10}

¹Institute of Translational Biomedicine, St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg, Russia

²Institute of Experimental Medicine, Almazov National Medical Research Centre, St. Petersburg, Russia

³Laboratory of Preclinical Bioscreening, Russian Research Center for Radiology and Surgical Technologies, Pesochny, Russia

⁴School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

⁵Laboratory of Biological Psychiatry, Institute of Translational Biomedicine, St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg, Russia

⁶Laboratory of Petrochemistry, Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

⁷Almazov National Medical Research Centre, St. Petersburg, Russia

⁸Granov Russian National Research Center for Radiology and Surgical Technologies, Pesochny, Russia

⁹ZENEREI Research Center, Slidell, LA

¹⁰The International Zebrafish Neuroscience Research Consortium (ZNRC), Slidell, LA

Abstract: The increasing work using fish as a model organism calls for a better understanding of their sentience. While growing evidence suggests that pain and emotionality exist in zebrafish, many deniers continue to ignore the evidence. Here we revisit the main conceptual breakthroughs in the field that argue clearly for pain and emotionality. We call for an end to denial and a focus on studying the mechanisms of fish pain and emotionality, and their translational relevance to human conditions.

[Konstantin A. Demin](#), doctoral candidate, Saint-Petersburg State University and Research Assistant, Almazov National Medical Research Centre, works in biological psychiatry and drug discovery. His main focus is experimental models of stress, anxiety, and depression as well as CNS drug-evoked conditions using zebrafish as a model organism. [Researchgate](#)



[Anton M. Lakstygal](#) studied rodent CNS models at Saint Petersburg State University and is now involved in preclinical bioscreening studies in zebrafish at the Russian Research Center for Radiology and Surgical Technologies (St. Petersburg). [Researchgate](#)



[Allan V. Kalueff](#), neuroscientist and pharmacologist, is Director of the ZENEREI Research Center (New Orleans, USA), Professor of Neuroscience and Pharmacology at Southwest University (Chongqing, China), Professor of Neuroscience at Saint Petersburg State University, Leading Researcher at Almazov National Medical Research Centre, Ural Federal University and Institute of Physiology and Fundamental Medicine (Russia), and President of the International Stress and Behavior Society (ISBS). [Researchgate](#)



As the use of fish as model objects in biomedicine is rapidly growing, some concerns merit further consideration and critical discussion. Recent studies suggest that fish, like mammals, appear to have sentience (Brown, 2015; Rey et al., 2015), including mounting evidence that fish feel pain, respond to it behaviourally, and have all the requisite pain mechanisms and pathways (Malafoglia, Bryant, Raffaelli, Giordano, & Bellipanni, 2013). Fish behavior is complex and emotionality-driven (Kalueff et al., 2012). Some skepticism remains, however, as to whether zebrafish are sentient (Diggles et al., 2017; Key, 2015, 2016; Rose, 2002, 2007, 2016; Rose et al., 2014), and whether, from a bioethical perspective, they should be protected (similarly to the way mammals are). A recent study (Sneddon et al., 2018) rigorously challenges such views through a thorough discussion of nociception and analgesia studies in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*).

Today, zebrafish are widely used in biomedical research as a powerful tool for translational research in various fields, including neuroscience. Despite their simpler central nervous system (CNS) organization relative to mammals, zebrafish have complex, well-described behavior which is emotionally driven and extends far beyond simple instinctive/reflexive reactions to different stimuli (Broom, 2007; Kalueff, Stewart, & Gerlai, 2014). The high level of genetic homology between zebrafish and humans is another argument in support of their sentience. Zebrafish have 26,206 protein-coding genes, and a large share of these genes (71,4%) are human gene orthologs (Howe et al., 2013). Pharmacological effects and molecular targets of various drugs are also highly conserved in zebrafish and humans (Milan, Peterson, Ruskin, Peterson, & MacRae, 2003), especially for receptors and enzymes (Schaaf et al., 2008). Neurochemistry in zebrafish and humans is evolutionarily conserved, with all major neurotransmitter systems (glutamate, GABA, catecholamines, acetylcholine) present in both fish and human CNS (Panula et al., 2010). Fish also possess a well-established nociceptive system strikingly paralleling that in mammals (Sneddon, 2002, 2003, 2009). For example, fish display aversive behavioral and physiological reactions to noxious stimuli that cause pain in other animals and humans (Sneddon, 2009). Fish also respond to a wide range of analgesics, including both opioid (e.g., morphine) and non-opioid drugs (e.g., aspirin and ibuprofen) (Malafoglia et al., 2013), further bridging the ostensible physiological and neurochemical gap between fish and mammals. Various experimental models of pain have been developed in zebrafish (Currie, 2014), fostering anti-pain medication screening and the investigation of genetic mutations involved in nociceptive pathways. Among the common pain response, the pain-inducing drugs cause altered motor activity in zebrafish that can be assessed using automated track records (Kalueff et al., 2013) and corrected by anti-pain medication.

Zebrafish, being relatively homologous to humans in terms of amino acid sequences in key genes in the opioid system (Gonzalez-Nunez, Barrallo, Traynor, & Rodriguez, 2006; Pinal-Seoane et al., 2006), represent a valuable tool for the study of the central opioid system and its role in pain regulation (Demin et al., 2018). Not only do all mammalian opioid receptor genes have counterparts in the zebrafish genome (Klee et al., 2012), but the zebrafish opioid system can be described as more complex than in mammals in terms of the number of opioid genes and their more diverse physiological functions (see Demin et al. (2018) for a recent comprehensive discussion). Thus, zebrafish models enable the use of this organism not only as a simple “copy” of mammalian models of pain, but as a complementary tool to further assess the nature of the opioid system *in vivo* and its role in pain physiology.

In general Darwinian terms, the existence of a fast-acting physiological system that informs individuals about potentially harmful stimuli is crucial for animal survival. It is hence

unsurprising that fish (like mammals) have such a system and express specific behaviors in response to pain. It is naïve, however, to focus on the description of the nociceptive system and pain-associated behavior in zebrafish and ignore conceptual “hard problems”. For example, the discussion of animal sentience is over-focused on the potential existence of subjective perceptual experience. No branch of science has reliable knowledge about the true nature of consciousness; and no methods exist to prove or disprove pain awareness for any organism except humans. In disputing fish sentience, another concern of Diggles et al. (2017) is the methods used to activate nociceptors. The study of nociception in zebrafish does need further investigation at the level of receptors, neurons and nerves involved in pain sensation, which is crucial for confirming the validity of the currently available fish-based main models. However, it is unfair to negate zebrafish nociception models based on this argument since we need more such research, not less (see also Woodruff, 2018).

At the same time, some questions raised by a relatively more developed critique of fish sentience by Key (2016) do remain open. Despite being widely criticized (Balcombe, 2016; Braithwaite & Droege, 2016; Broom, 2016; Brown, 2016; Derbyshire, 2016; Dinets, 2016; Elwood, 2016; Manzotti, 2016; Seth, 2016), Key notes the lack of precise brain correlates of feeling pain that can be used to translate nociception from human to fish. We agree that identifying such easy-to-translate correlates is an important step for today’s translational research in the field of nociception. Moreover, sensory systems for pain in fishes can potentially vary physiologically (by analogy with vision in different taxa); hence such cross-taxa correlates with humans may not be as straightforward as might be expected (Elwood, 2016).

Finally, we argue that fish not only have the ability to experience pain per se, but also drive their behaviors accordingly, as part of complex emotionality-driven behavioral phenotypes that in many domains resemble mammalian emotionality (Kalueff et al., 2012).

Zebrafish nociception, relatively well-studied recently, is close to becoming universally accepted despite resistance from some authors whose views are dated and unsupported by modern objective scientific evidence (Angoa-Perez et al., 2014; Rose, 2002, 2007, 2016; Rose et al., 2014). The field of bioethics has a powerful enough basis for granting the protection deserved by species proved to have pronounced avoidance reactions to harmful stimuli and cognitive skills. Using fish models can be regulated by applying the bioethical laws already applied to other sentient animals involved in biomedical research, such as mammals. Our knowledge of animal sentience is fundamental to many disciplines and imperative to animal welfare (Proctor, Carder, & Cornish, 2013). Therefore, in recognizing fish sentience, we should also promote fish welfare (Martins et al., 2012; Webster, 2014). For example, mounting evidence suggests that zebrafish need environmental enrichment (Collymore, Tolwani, & Rasmussen, 2015; Giacomini et al., 2016; Marcon et al., 2018) as part of their husbandry standards and experimental procedures, as in the widely recognized use of environmental enrichment in mammals. Despite all arguments about the large phylogenetic distance between fish and mammals, it is necessary to provide an equal level of protection in research in order to avoid methodological and conceptual obstacles that may significantly impede scientific progress and discovery.

In summary, whereas the denial of fish nociception and emotionality (Diggles et al., 2017; Key, 2015, 2016; Rose, 2016; Rose et al., 2014) continues to ignore the rapidly growing body of positive empirical evidence (Kalueff et al., 2012; Lopez-Luna, Al-Jubouri, Al-Nuaimy, & Sneddon, 2017; Sneddon, 2002, 2003, 2009; Sneddon et al., 2018; Stewart et al., 2015), the field should also adapt and reshape in response. Specifically, it can turn away from discussing dated and unsupported views and move full speed ahead to focusing on what really matters:

studying the mechanisms of fish pain and emotionality and their translational relevance to human conditions in order to build a reliable, reproducible and ethically sound line of research using these sentient aquatic species.

References


- Angoa-Perez, M., Kane, M. J., Briggs, D. I., Herrera-Mundo, N., Sykes, C. E., Francescutti, D. M., & Kuhn, D. M. (2014). [Mice genetically depleted of brain serotonin do not display a depression-like behavioral phenotype](#). *ACS Chemical Neuroscience*, 5(10): 908-919.
- Balcombe, J. (2016). [Cognitive evidence of fish sentience](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(2)
- Braithwaite, V. A., & Droege, P. (2016). [Why human pain can't tell us whether fish feel pain](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(3)
- Broom, D. M. (2007). [Cognitive ability and sentience: Which aquatic animals should be protected?](#) *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 75(2): 99-108.
- Broom, D. M. (2016). [Fish brains and behaviour indicate capacity for feeling pain](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(4)
- Brown, C. (2015). [Fish intelligence, sentience and ethics](#). *Animal Cognition* 18(1): 1-17.
- Brown, C. (2016). [Comparative evolutionary approach to pain perception in fishes](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(5)
- Collymore, C., Tolwani, R. J., & Rasmussen, S. (2015). [The behavioral effects of single housing and environmental enrichment on adult zebrafish \(*Danio rerio*\)](#). *Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science*, 54(3): 280-285.
- Currie, A. D. (2014). [Toward a novel model of pain in zebrafish: Exposure to water containing dilute concentrations of acetic acid](#). Psychology Honors Project, Macalester College.
- Demin, K. A., Meshalkina, D. A., Kysil, E. V., Antonova, K. A., Volgin, A. D., Yakovleva, O. A., Alekseeva, P. A., Firuleva, M. M., Lakstygal, A. M., de Abreu, M. S., Barcellos, Bao, W., Friend, A., Amstislavskaya, T. G., Rosemberg, D. B., Musienko, P. E., & Song, C. (2018). [Zebrafish models relevant to studying central opioid and endocannabinoid systems](#). *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*, 86: 301-312.
- Derbyshire, S. W. (2016). [Fish lack the brains and the psychology for pain](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(18)
- Diggles, B., Arlinghaus, R., Browman, H., Cooke, S., Cowx, I., Kasumyan, A., Key, B., Rose, J. D., Sawynok, W., Schwab, A. Skiftesvik, A. B., Stevens, E. D., Watson, C. A., & Wynne, C. D. L. (2017). [Responses of larval zebrafish to low pH immersion assay. Comment on Lopez-Luna et al.](#) *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 220(17): 3191-3192.
- Dinets, V. (2016). [No cortex, no cry](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(7)
- Elwood, R. W. (2016). [A single strand of argument with unfounded conclusion](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(19)
- Giacomini, A. C., Abreu, M. S., Zanandrea, R., Saibt, N., Friedrich, M. T., Koakoski, G., Gusso, D., Piato, A. L., & Barcellos, L. J. G. (2016). [Environmental and pharmacological manipulations blunt the stress response of zebrafish in a similar manner](#). *Scientific Reports*, 6: 28986.

- Gonzalez-Nunez, V., Barrallo, A., Traynor, J. R., & Rodriguez, R. E. (2006). [Characterization of opioid-binding sites in zebrafish brain](#). *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 316(2): 900-904.
- Howe, K., Clark, M. D., Torroja, C. F., Tarrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., . . . Stemple, D. L. (2013). [The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome](#). *Nature*, 496(7446): 498-503.
- Kalueff, A. V., Gebhardt, M., Stewart, A. M., Cachat, J. M., Brimmer, M., Chawla, J. S., Craddock, C., Kyzar, E. J., Roth, A., Landsman, S., Gaikwad, S., Robinson, K., Baatrup, E., Tierney, K., Shamchuk, A., Norton, W., Miller, N., Nicolson, T., Braubach O., Gilman C. P., Pittman, J., Rosemberg, D. B., Gerlai, R., Echevarria, D., Lamb, E., Neuhaus, S. C., Weng, W., Bally-Cuif, L., Schneider, H., & the Zebrafish Neuroscience Research Consortium (ZNRC). (2013). [Towards a comprehensive catalog of zebrafish behavior 1.0 and beyond](#). *Zebrafish*, 10(1): 70-86.
- Kalueff, A. V., Stewart, A. M., & Gerlai, R. (2014). [Zebrafish as an emerging model for studying complex brain disorders](#). *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, 35(2): 63-75.
- Kalueff, A. V., Stewart, A. M., Kyzar, E. J., Cachat, J., Gebhardt, M., Landsman, S., Robinson, K., Maximino, C., Herculano, A., M., Jesuthasan, S., Wisenden, B., Bally-Cuif, L., Lange, M., Vernier, P., Norton, W., Tierney, K., Tropepe, V., Neuhaus, S. C. F., & the International Zebrafish Neuroscience Research Consortium (ZNRC). (2012). [Time to recognize zebrafish 'affective' behavior](#). *Behaviour*, 149(10-12): 1019-1036.
- Key, B. (2015). [Fish do not feel pain and its implications for understanding phenomenal consciousness](#). *Biology & Philosophy*, 30(2): 149-165.
- Key, B. (2016). [Why fish do not feel pain](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(1)
- Klee, E. W., Schneider, H., Clark, K. J., Cousin, M. A., Ebbert, J. O., Hooten, W. M., Karpyak, V. M., Warner, D. O., & Ekker, S. C. (2012). [Zebrafish: A model for the study of addiction genetics](#). *Human Genetics*, 131(6): 977-1008.
- Lopez-Luna, J., Al-Jubouri, Q., Al-Nuaimy, W., & Sneddon, L. U. (2017). [Reduction in activity by noxious chemical stimulation is ameliorated by immersion in analgesic drugs in zebrafish](#). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 220(Pt 8): 1451-1458.
- Malafiglia, V., Bryant, B., Raffaelli, W., Giordano, A., & Bellipanni, G. (2013). [The zebrafish as a model for nociception studies](#). *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 228(10): 1956-1966.
- Manzotti, R. (2016). [No evidence that pain is painful neural process](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(11)
- Marcon, M., Mocelin, R., Benvenuti, R., Costa, T., Herrmann, A. P., de Oliveira, D. L., Koakoski, G., Barcellos, L. J. G., & Piato, A. (2018). [Environmental enrichment modulates the response to chronic stress in zebrafish](#). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 221(Pt 4).
- Martins, C. I., Galhardo, L., Noble, C., Damsgard, B., Spedicato, M. T., Zupa, W., Beauchaud, M., Kulczykowska, E., Massabuau, J-C., Carter, T., Rey Planellas, S., & Kristiansen, T. (2012). [Behavioural indicators of welfare in farmed fish](#). *Fish Physiology Biochemistry*, 38(1): 17-41.
- Milan, D. J., Peterson, T. A., Ruskin, J. N., Peterson, R. T., & MacRae, C. A. (2003). [Drugs that induce repolarization abnormalities cause bradycardia in zebrafish](#). *Circulation*, 107(10): 1355-1358.

- Panula, P., Chen, Y. C., Priyadarshini, M., Kudo, H., Semenova, S., Sundvik, M., & Sallinen, V. (2010). [The comparative neuroanatomy and neurochemistry of zebrafish CNS systems of relevance to human neuropsychiatric diseases](#). *Neurobiology Disease*, 40(1): 46-57.
- Pinal-Seoane, N., Martin, I. R., Gonzalez-Nunez, V., Marron Fernandez de Velasco, E., Alvarez, F. A., Sarmiento, R. G., & Rodriguez, R. E. (2006). [Characterization of a new duplicate delta-opioid receptor from zebrafish](#). *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, 37(3): 391-340
- Proctor, H. S., Carder, G., & Cornish, A. R. (2013). [Searching for animal sentience: A systematic review of the scientific literature](#). *Animals*, 3(3): 882-906.
- Rey, S., Huntingford, F. A., Boltana, S., Vargas, R., Knowles, T. G., & Mackenzie, S. (2015). [Fish can show emotional fever: Stress-induced hyperthermia in zebrafish](#). *Proceedings of The Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 282(1819).
- Rose, J. D. (2002). [The neurobehavioral nature of fishes and the question of awareness and pain](#). *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 10(1): 1-38.
- Rose, J. D. (2007). [Anthropomorphism and 'mental welfare' of fishes](#). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 75(2): 139-154.
- Rose, J. D. (2016). [Pain in fish: Weighing the evidence](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(25)
- Rose, J. D., Arlinghaus, R., Cooke, S., Diggles, B., Sawynok, W., Stevens, E., & Wynne, C. (2014). [Can fish really feel pain?](#) *Fish and Fisheries*, 15(1): 97-133.
- Schaaf, M. J., Champagne, D., van Laanen, I. H., van Wijk, D. C., Meijer, A. H., Meijer, O. C., Spaink, H. P., & Richardson, M. K. (2008). [Discovery of a functional glucocorticoid receptor beta-isoform in zebrafish](#). *Endocrinology*, 149(4): 1591-1599.
- Seth, A. K. (2016). [Why fish pain cannot and should not be ruled out](#). *Animal Sentience* 3(14)
- Sneddon, L. U. (2002). [Anatomical and electrophysiological analysis of the trigeminal nerve in a teleost fish, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*](#). *Neuroscience Letters*, 319(3): 167-171.
- Sneddon, L. U. (2003). [Trigeminal somatosensory innervation of the head of a teleost fish with particular reference to nociception](#). *Brain Research*, 972(1-2): 44-52.
- Sneddon, L. U. (2009). [Pain perception in fish: Indicators and endpoints](#). *ILAR Journal*, 50(4): 338-342.
- Sneddon, L. U., Lopez-Luna, J., Wolfenden, D. C. C., Leach, M. C., Valentim, A. M., Steenbergen, P. J., Bardine, N., Currie, A. D., Broom, D. M., & Brown, C. (2018). [Fish sentience denial: Muddying the waters](#). *Animal Sentience* 21(1)
- Stewart, A. M., Ullmann, J. F., Norton, W. H., Parker, M. O., Brennan, C. H., Gerlai, R., & Kalueff, A. V. (2015). [Molecular psychiatry of zebrafish](#). *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20(1): 2-17.
- Webster, J. (2014). [Ethical and animal welfare considerations in relation to species selection for animal experimentation](#). *Animals (Basel)*, 4(4): 729-741.
- Woodruff, M. L. (2018). [Pain in fish: Evidence from peripheral nociceptors to pallial processing](#). *Animal Sentience* 21(2)

École d'été
en sciences
cognitives 2018
26 juin - 6 juillet

Summer School
in cognitive
sciences 2018
June 26th - July 6th



LE PROBLÈME DES
AUTRES ESPRITS
THE OTHER
MINDS PROBLEM

SENSIBILITÉ et
COGNITION ANIMALE
ANIMAL SENTIENCE and
COGNITION

UQAM | ISC
Institut des sciences cognitives

<https://sites.grenadine.uqam.ca/sites/isc/en/summer18>

[f](#) [t](#) @animalmind18

ISC 2018 Summer School in Cognitive Sciences

June 26 - July 6, 2018

Montreal (Canada)

*The Other Minds Problem:
Animal Sentience and
Cognition*

Overview. Since Descartes, philosophers know there is no way to know for sure what — or whether — others feel (not even if they tell you). Science, however, is not about certainty but about probability and evidence. The 7.5 billion individual members of the human species can tell us what they are feeling. But there are 9 million other species on the planet (20 quintillion individuals), from elephants to jellyfish, with which humans share biological and cognitive ancestry, but not one other species can speak: Which of them can feel — and what do they feel? Their human spokespersons — the comparative psychologists, ethologists, evolutionists, and cognitive neurobiologists who are the world's leading experts in "mind-reading" other species — will provide a sweeping panorama of what it feels like to be an elephant, ape, whale, cow, pig, dog, chicken, bat, fish, lizard, lobster, snail: This growing body of facts about nonhuman sentience has profound implications not only for our understanding of human cognition, but for our treatment of other sentient species

[LIVE VIDEOS](#) <---> [ARCHIVED VIDEOS](#) <---> [BLOG DISCUSSION](#)

Gregory Berns: [Decoding the Dog's Mind with Awake Neuroimaging](#)

Gordon Burghardt: [Probing the Umwelt of Reptiles](#)

Jon Sakata: [Audience Effects on Communication Signals](#)

PANEL 1: Reptiles, Birds and Mammals

WORKSHOP 1: Kristin Andrews: [The "Other" Problems: Mind, Behavior, and Agency](#)

Sarah Brosnan: [How Do Primates Feel About Their Social Partners?](#)

Alexander Ophir: [The Cognitive Ecology of Monogamy](#)

Michael Hendricks: [Integrating Action and Perception in a Small Nervous System](#)

PANEL 2: Primates, Voles and Worms

WORKSHOP 2: Jonathan Birch: [Animal Sentience and the Precautionary Principle](#)

Malcolm MacIver: [How Sentience Changed After Fish Invaded Land 385 Million Years Ago](#)

Sarah Woolley: [Neural Mechanisms of Preference in Female Songbird](#)

Simon Reader: [Animal Social Learning: Implications for Understanding Others](#)

PANEL 3: Sea to Land to Air

WORKSHOP 3: Steven M. Wise: [Nonhuman Personhood](#)

Tomoko Ohyama: [Action Selection in a Small Brain \(Drosophila Maggot\)](#)

Mike Ryan: ["Crazy Love": Nonlinearity and Irrationality in Mate Choice](#)

Louis Lefebvre: [Animal Innovation: From Ecology to Neurotransmitters](#)

PANEL 4: Maggots, Frogs and Birds: Flexibility Evolving

SPECIAL EVENT: Mario Cyr: [Polar Bears](#)

Colin Chapman: [Why Do We Want to Think People Are Different?](#)

Vladimir Pradosudov: [Chickadee Spatial Cognition](#)

Jonathan Balcombe: [The Sentient World of Fishes](#)

PANEL 5: Similarities and Differences

WORKSHOP 5 (part 1): Gary Comstock: [A Cow's Concept of Her Future](#)

WORKSHOP 5 (part 2): Jean-Jacques Kona-Boun: [Physical and Mental Risks to Cattle and Horses in Rodeos](#)

Joshua Plotnik: [Thoughtful Trunks: Application of Elephant Cognition for Elephant Conservation](#)

Lori Marino: [Who Are Dolphins?](#)

Larry Young: [The Neurobiology of Social Bonding, Empathy and Social Loss in Monogamous Voles](#)

Panel 6: Mammals All, Great and Small

WORKSHOP 6: Lori Marino: [The Inconvenient Truth About Thinking Chickens](#)

Frantisek Baluska & Stefano Mancuso: [What a Plant Knows and Perceives](#)

Arthur Reber: [A Novel Theory of the Origin of Mind: Conversations With a Caterpillar and a Bacterium](#)

PANEL 7: Microbes, Molds and Plants

WORKSHOP 7: Suzanne Held & Michael Mendl: [Pig Cognition and Why It Matters](#)

James Simmons: [What Is It Like To Be A Bat?](#)

Debbie Kelly: [Spatial Cognition in Food-Storing](#)

Steve Phelps: [Social Cognition Across Species](#)

PANEL 8: Social Space

WORKSHOP 8: Alanna Devine & Sophie Gaillard: [Does the Law Protect Animals?](#)

Lars Chittka: [The Mind of the Bee](#)

Reuven Dukas: [Insect Emotions: Mechanisms and Evolutionary Biology](#)

Adam Shriver: [Do Human Lesion Studies Tell Us the Cortex is Required for Pain Experiences?](#)

PANEL 9: The Invertebrate Mind

WORKSHOP 9: Delcianna Winders: [Nonhuman Animals in Sport and Entertainment: Legal Aspects](#)

Carel ten Cate: [Avian Capacity for Categorization and Abstraction](#)

Jennifer Mather: [Do Squid Have a Sense of Self?](#)

Steve Chang: [Neurobiology of Monkeys Thinking About Other Monkeys](#)

PANEL 10: Others in Mind

WORKSHOP 10: The Legal Status of Sentient Nonhuman Species (Alain Roy, Nicolas Morello, Delcianna Winders)