

1-2016

HPI reactivity does not reflect changes in personality among trout introduced to bold or shy social groups


Jack S. Thomson
University of Liverpool

Phillip C. Watts
University of Oulu

Tom G. Pottinger
Lancaster Environment Centre

Lynne U. Sneddon
University of Liverpool

Follow this and additional works at: <https://animalstudiesrepository.org/socbeh>

 Part of the [Animal Studies Commons](#), [Behavior and Ethology Commons](#), and the [Comparative Psychology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Thomson, Jack S.; Watts, Phillip C.; Pottinger, Tom G.; Sneddon, Lynne U. 2016. HPI reactivity does not reflect changes in personality among trout introduced to bold or shy social groups. *Behaviour*, 153 (13-14). 1589-1610. 10.1163/1568539X-00003398

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Humane Society Institute for Science and Policy. It has been accepted for inclusion by an authorized administrator of the Animal Studies Repository. For more information, please contact eyahner@humanesociety.org.



Article (refereed) - postprint

Thomson, Jack S.; Watts, Phillip C.; Pottinger, Tom G.; Sneddon, Lynne U.
2016. **HPI reactivity does not reflect changes in personality among trout introduced to bold or shy social groups.** *Behaviour*, 153 (13-14). 1589-1610. [10.1163/1568539X-00003398](https://doi.org/10.1163/1568539X-00003398)

© Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, 2016

This version available <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/513039/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. There may be differences between this and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The definitive version is available at <http://booksandjournals.brillonline.com/>

Contact CEH NORA team at
noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

1 **Title:** HPI reactivity does not reflect changes in personality among trout introduced to bold or
2 shy social groups

3

4 **Short Title:** Effect of social interaction on trout coping style

5

6 Jack S. Thomson^a, Phillip C. Watts^b, Tom G. Pottinger^c & Lynne U. Sneddon^d

7

8 ^a School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3GP, UK

9 ^b Department of Ecology, University of Oulu, FI-90014, Finland

10 ^c Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Bailrigg, Lancaster,
11 LA1 4AP, UK

12 ^d School of Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK

13

14 **Author and address for correspondence:**

15 **Jack S. Thomson**

16 School of Environmental Sciences

17 Nicholson Building

18 Brownlow Street

19 University of Liverpool

20 L69 3GP

21

22 jsthomson.ecol@gmail.com

23

24

25 **Summary**

26 Physiological stress responses often correlate with personalities (e.g. boldness). However,
27 this relationship can become decoupled, although the mechanisms underlying changes in this
28 relationship are poorly understood. Here we quantify (1) how an individual's boldness
29 (response to novel objects) in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, changes in response to
30 interactions with a population of either bold or shy conspecifics and we (2) measured
31 associated post-stress cortisol levels. Initially-bold trout became shyer regardless of group
32 composition, whereas shy trout remained shy demonstrating that bold individuals are more
33 plastic. Stress-induced plasma cortisol reflected the original personality of fish but not the
34 personality induced by the treatment, irrespective of population personality. Change in
35 boldness of bold trout may indicate preference towards initially subordinate behaviour when
36 joining a new population. However, here we provide further evidence that behavioural and
37 physiological parameters of coping styles may become uncoupled whereby behavioural
38 changes are not correlated with stress responsiveness.

39

40 **Keywords:** coping style; boldness; behavioural plasticity; *Oncorhynchus mykiss*; cortisol;
41 group influence

42

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45

46 Variation in personality – consistent between-individual differences in behaviour
47 (Adriaenssens & Johnsson, 2012) – has clear fitness consequences and is, therefore,
48 evolutionarily important. However, the fitness benefits of behavioural traits depend on the
49 environmental and social context (Sih & Watters, 2005), and the extent to which individuals
50 can modulate their personality to match new challenges thus has important evolutionary

51 implications. While between-individual differences in behaviour generally are consistent
52 through time (Sih et al., 2004), personalities may change dependent upon environmental
53 context (Dingemanse et al., 2010). One key personality axis is boldness, the propensity for
54 taking risks in novel situations (Sneddon, 2003; van Oers et al., 2005). Boldness typically
55 correlates with behaviours such as aggression, activity and exploration (Lima & Dill, 1990;
56 Sneddon et al., 2003; Bell, 2005; van Oers et al., 2005; Adriaenssens & Johnsson, 2012).
57 Interactions with exogenous stimuli often lead to shifts in boldness (Cockrem, 2007; Frost et
58 al., 2007); the extent of behavioural change may, however, be limited by the costs of
59 information gathering and integration, usually in the form of detecting environmental cues
60 and enacting any relevant physiological adjustments associated with such change (DeWitt et
61 al., 1998).

62

63 Personality has been linked with the magnitude of an individual's physiological response to
64 stress (e.g. Koolhaas et al., 1999) such that individuals can be described according to coping
65 style. Proactive animals respond to stress with relatively low hypothalamo-pituitary-
66 adrenal/interrenal (HPA/I) axis activity and tend to exhibit bold behaviour, while reactive
67 individuals are characterised by a higher HPA/I response, inactivity and shyness.

68 Additionally, proactive and reactive animals have different capacities for behavioural change,
69 with proactive animals more likely to form routines and having more rigid personalities than
70 the more behaviourally labile reactive animals (Koolhaas et al., 1999; Cockrem, 2007; Ruiz-
71 Gomez et al., 2011). These individual differences are heritable and likely provide populations
72 with the requisite behavioural variation to cope with a variety of environmental pressures
73 (Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2011). However, recent data suggests that proactive and reactive profiles
74 are not rigid *per se*. For instance, though boldness is often strongly linked with HPA/I
75 reactivity, the relationship could be context-dependent or decoupled under particular

76 environments (e.g. Vaz-Serrano et al., 2011). Likewise, incidents of high stress have also
77 been observed to induce behavioural change in trout without a shift in underlying
78 physiological parameters (Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2008). Recent work has explored whether
79 underlying physiology drives behavioural differences (Koolhaas et al., 2010), whether
80 behaviour instead drives physiological differences through encouraging exposure to
81 particular stress-inducing challenges (Carere et al., 2010), or indeed whether such
82 relationships are linked through underlying factors such as a common pathway or pleiotropic
83 effects (Carere et al., 2010). Currently, none of these relationships appears to be particularly
84 strongly supported over any other.

85

86 Although personality of an individual strongly defines their responses to stimuli, a common
87 question, particularly among social animals, is how much of any behavioural response can be
88 attributed to an individual's personality compared to the influence of other group members
89 (Magnhagen & Bunnefeld, 2009). The contribution of individual and social factors may
90 depend on context: in some instances, individuals of a particular personality may take a
91 particular role (e.g. initiative and leadership by bold individuals; LeBlond & Reeb, 2006;
92 Harcourt et al., 2009; Favati et al., 2014); alternatively, the group's actions may be influenced
93 by the average behaviour of the group (Sih & Watters, 2005). However, individual fish may
94 be expected to adapt their behaviour to conform to their group behavioural profile to
95 minimise the potential increase in risk (associated with behaving differently to the rest of the
96 group) whilst simultaneously maximising the benefits of working together and sharing
97 information, particularly to more quickly acclimate to a new environment with unknown risks
98 and resource availability (Krause & Ruxton, 2002; Magnhagen, 2012; Castanheira et al.,
99 2016). Thus the expectation is that there may be a shift in some behaviours as individuals join

100 a group. However, how socially-induced changes in personality are reflected in underlying
101 physiological mechanisms appear to be little explored.

102

103 Thus, the aims of this study were (1) to determine whether boldness in rainbow trout
104 *Oncorhynchus mykiss* is influenced by the behavioural composition of a social group, and
105 whether such behavioural change was linked to the personality of the fish itself; and (2) to
106 determine whether post-stress cortisol profiles reflect any such behavioural change. The
107 relationship between personality and stress responsiveness has been well-explored in rainbow
108 trout (Øverli et al., 2005; Thomson et al., 2011) and *O. mykiss* therefore provides a robust
109 model for investigating animal personality and the influence of personality on how
110 individuals respond to social and environmental stimuli.

111

112 **2. Material and methods**

113


114 *2.1 General animal husbandry*

115

116 Rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (approx. 7.5-12.5 cm total length) were obtained from a
117 commercial supplier and housed in stock tanks (2 x 2 x 0.5 m) at approximately 170 fish per
118 tank (not all of which were used in this project). Trout were maintained on a semi-
119 recirculating system on a 14:10 h light:dark cycle at $13 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, and fed 1% body weight per
120 day on commercial trout feed (Skretting, UK). Half of the tank had an opaque cover for
121 shelter. For experimental procedures, fish were caught at random and transferred to
122 individual glass aquaria (90 x 50 x 45 cm; termed the home aquaria) which were screened
123 from visual disturbance (Figure 1). All home aquaria were supplied with filtered freshwater
124 and maintained at $10 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ with constant aeration and 1% body weight of food per day at the

125 same time each day. Fish to be used as a focal individual were anaesthetised, weighed and
 126 tagged one day after transferal to the home aquarium (Section 2.4). Otherwise, fish were
 127 allowed to acclimatize for at least one week before being evaluated for boldness (Section 2.2)
 128 to ensure that behavioural responses to stimuli are not been impaired by the stress associated
 129 with moving (Beitinger, 1990). Fish that did not resume feeding within two weeks of moving
 130 were excluded from the experiment.

131



132

133 **Figure 1:** Schematic of the experimental procedure, indicating the experimental set-up and
 134 the time-scale of experiments. Dotted lines indicate dividers within the Population Tanks
 135 which housed two fish populations simultaneously (see Section 2.3 for details).

136

137

138 *2.2 Individual boldness trial I*

139

140 Boldness was assessed using standard novel object tests used to distinguish bold and shy
 141 behaviour in rainbow trout (Frost et al., 2007; Thomson et al., 2011), and which correlate

142 with other measures of boldness, e.g. latency to emerge into a novel arena (Brown et al.,
143 2007; Adriaenssens & Johnsson, 2012), latency to taste novel prey (Frost et al., 2007), and
144 the degree of active / passive) behaviour (Thomson et al., 2011). A low-light video camera
145 was positioned in front of the home aquarium that was lined with rulers to quantify the
146 position of any fish and object. After 10 min, to allow fish to recover from any disturbance of
147 setting up the equipment, a novel object was placed into the centre of the aquarium and the
148 behaviour of the fish was recorded for 10 min (see also Section 2.7). Boldness was scored
149 according to three factors: latency to approach to within 5 cm of a novel object (*approach*
150 *latency*), duration of time that individuals were passive (*passive duration*), and the frequency
151 with which an individual initiated passive behaviour (*passive frequency*). Passive behaviour
152 included the subject resting at the base of the tank, pivoting on its own axis, and drifting
153 across the tank, but excluded active swimming for more than one body length. Including
154 measures of passive behaviour provides further justification of our selection criterion for
155 identifying bold and shy fish.

156

157 Concordant with previous studies (Frost et al., 2007; Thomson et al., 2011; Thomson et al.,
158 2012), the main measure of boldness was latency to approach the novel object; fish that
159 approached the novel object within 180 s were considered bold ($n=13$) and those that did not
160 approach the object within 300 s as shy ($n=21$), as trout that do not approach an object within
161 600s are unlikely to approach it ever (Frost et al., 2007). No fish had an *approach latency*
162 between 180-300 s in the first trial. Trout classified as bold or shy exhibit clear differences in
163 personality (e.g. Thomson et al., 2011; Thomson et al., 2012), with such measures of
164 behaviour consistent over time in constant conditions (Thomson et al., 2011). After this test
165 for boldness, fish were moved into population tanks (Figure 1) either as a member of the
166 population (Section 2.3) or as a focal fish (Section 2.4).

167

168 *2.3 Population tanks*

169

170 Separate social populations of either nine bold or nine shy trout were set up in opaquely-sided
171 tanks (~149x92x37 cm) that were otherwise identical to the stock tanks. Populations
172 consisted of entirely bold or shy groups to maximise the impact of social cues on focal
173 individuals and to limit potential conflicting cues that may arise from mixed groups. A total
174 of four populations (two bold and two shy; Figure 1) were established in two aquaria, with
175 populations within the same aquarium separated by a divider to prevent direct interactions.
176 As populations within the same tank shared the same flow-through of water, the same
177 behavioural types, both the social population and the focal individual, were maintained in the
178 same aquarium to ameliorate transfer of chemical cues associated with specific behaviours
179 among populations during the experiments. Populations were established over a one-month
180 period, with the same fish utilized throughout the experiment to reduce variation in the
181 population composition between focal fish, and to minimize the total numbers of animals
182 used in accordance with Home Office guidelines.

183

184 *2.4 Focal fish*

185

186 The day after the transfer from stock tanks to home aquaria, focal trout ($n=34$, 35.64 ± 3.09 g)
187 were netted, anaesthetized (benzocaine: Sigma-Aldrich Co., UK) at 0.033 g l⁻¹) and tagged
188 using yellow visible implant elastomer (VIE, Northwest Marine Technology Inc.) behind the
189 eye. Tagging with VIE has no effect on fish behaviour or growth (Olsen & Vøllestad, 2001).
190 Each fish was weighed (to 0.01 g), returned to its home aquarium and allowed to acclimate
191 for one week or until resumption of feeding. Focal fish were then given the novel object test

192 (Section 2.2) to assess boldness before being placed into one of the population tanks. Only
193 one focal fish was placed into each population at a time.

194

195 *2.5 Social treatment*

196

197 Focal fish spent 1 week in the population tank (Figure 1), during which time the group was
198 exposed (in random order) to three cues, each on a single occasion: (1) novel object exposure
199 - a novel object was placed centrally into the tank and left for 15 min before being retrieved
200 with a net (see also 2.8 *Novel Objects*); (2) simulated predator attack – food pellets were
201 presented to the population and when one fish attempted to feed, a predator threat was
202 simulated using a model heron (*Ardea cinerea*) head that was thrust towards the group of fish
203 twice in succession (Jönsson et al., 1996; Johnsson et al., 2001); (3) Net chase - the
204 population was chased with a hand-net (15x10 cm) for 2 min, with the net moved around the
205 tank to ensure that each fish was chased; net-chasing is thought to simulate chasing by a
206 predator (Brown et al., 2007). These cues were intended to encourage a population response
207 to an environmental challenge, thereby reinforcing interactions within the population to
208 facilitate possible transmission of boldness-related behaviour between the focal fish and other
209 trout within their populations.

210

211 During husbandry procedures two bold focal fish in a bold population were observed to be
212 chased by the population animals; this would be expected, since rainbow trout form
213 dominance hierarchies through agonistic interactions (Pottinger & Carrick, 2001; Gilmour et
214 al., 2005), and bold animals are more aggressive and tend to become dominant (Sih et al.,
215 2004; Huntingford & Adams, 2005). Animals that experienced excessive chasing and which
216 exhibited signs of stress were removed from the experiment within 24 hours; there was no

217 evidence that this biased our sample, since our data is fully representative for bold and shy
218 fish, with no systematic removal of fish that responded during a certain time period (Section
219 3.1). To minimise the impact upon focal fish, the sample size for the bold focal fish in bold
220 population treatment group was capped at $n=5$. Aggressive interactions were not quantified as
221 part of the experiment. No chasing behaviour was observed among the shy population, nor of
222 shy focal fish held within the bold population, and thus sample sizes were not capped for
223 these treatments.

224

225 *2.6 Individual boldness trial II*

226

227 After one week, the focal fish was identified by its VIE tag, retrieved and replaced in its
228 home aquarium. After a 24 hour recovery period, focal fish were re-tested for boldness
229 (Section 2.7): a 24 hour period was considered sufficient time to allow the fish to recover
230 from the immediate stress of handling, but not enough time to re-habituate to solitary
231 conditions. Physiological habituation to stimuli takes upwards of 7 days in trout (Moreira et
232 al., 2004) whilst behavioural conditioning also takes more than 2 or 3 days to achieve
233 (Sneddon, 2003). Trout are routinely tested for boldness immediately after movement
234 between tanks or locations as part of novel- or open-field tests (e.g. Schjolden et al., 2005),
235 suggesting that relocation does not appreciably alter behaviour. Therefore the second measure
236 of boldness was considered to accurately reflect the animal's behaviour after co-habitation
237 with the population, and extending the recovery period would have a detrimental impact on
238 our estimate of the influence of social exposure to behaviour.

239

240 *2.7 Novel objects*

241

242 Each focal fish was exposed to three novel objects throughout the study.

243 1. Boldness trial I (section 2.2): Orange rubber stopper (7.1 x 4.9 cm)

244 2. Social Treatment (section 2.5): Lego Duplo™ construct with shapes and colours altered

245 between every trial and each used just once throughout the study (objects constructed

246 from a combination of 4-8 blocks, either 2x2 or 2x4; mean \pm SE volume of objects=137.7

247 \pm 9.1cm³; colours of bricks were red, blue and black)

248 3. Boldness trial II (section 2.6): Weighted blue box (7.5 x 5.3 x 3.8cm)

249 Use of three objects prevented individuals from habituating to one object, although this

250 procedure was precautionary as it is unlikely that fish will habituate unless an object is

251 presented regularly and repeatedly (Sneddon et al., 2003); here, an object was presented at a

252 maximum rate of once per week.

253

254 *2.8 Stress physiology measurements*

255

256 On the same day as the final behavioural trial focal fish were exposed to an emersion stressor

257 by being netted and lifted from the water for 60 s to induce an acute physiological stress

258 response (Pickering & Pottinger, 1989). Focal fish were then returned to the home aquaria for

259 15 min (to allow a cortisol response to the stressor to develop), after which time the fish was

260 netted and euthanized by concussion. This procedure was completed at the same time each

261 day for every focal fish to ensure that hormonal levels were not compromised by diel

262 fluctuations (Pickering & Pottinger, 1983). Immediately after euthanasia, fish were weighed

263 and a blood sample was taken from the caudal vessels into a 2 ml heparinised syringe. The

264 blood was centrifuged at 3,500 RPM for 5 min at 4°C, and the supernatant plasma aspirated

265 and frozen at -20°C until analysis. Cortisol concentrations were determined using a validated

266 radioimmunoassay procedure (Pottinger & Carrick, 2001) with sample order randomised and

267 the assays conducted blind. Overall, 34 focal fish were tested (in bold populations: 5 bold and
268 11 shy; in shy populations: 8 bold and 10 shy). Given the size of the fish and the possible
269 effect of blood removal on blood volume and associated tissue damage it was considered too
270 much of a risk to the well-being and survival of the fish to collect blood for cortisol
271 measurements before the Social Treatment.

272

273 *2.9 Statistical Analyses*

274 All analyses were completed in R (v. 3.2.3; R Core Team, 2015) and in all cases p values
275 were compared to $\alpha=0.05$.

276

277 Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare the *passive duration* (s) and *passive frequency*
278 between ostensibly bold and shy trout in the first trial, and thus demonstrate that *approach*
279 *latency* alone (Section 2.2) could be used to discriminate between discrete bold and shy
280 personalities. Post-stress plasma cortisol concentration (ng ml^{-1}) was analysed using ANOVA
281 with focal boldness, group boldness, and their interaction as fixed factors. Analysis of
282 specific growth rate is detailed in Supplementary Material.

283

284 Change in *passive behaviour* and *passive frequency* across the two trials were analysed using
285 a mixed-model approach (Zuur et al., 2009). For *passive duration* a linear mixed effects
286 model (lmer) was used whereas *passive frequency* was modelled using a generalized linear
287 mixed effects model (glmer) approach assuming a Poisson distribution (using the package
288 lme4, v. 1.1-12; Bates et al., 2015). In each case, individuals were considered as a random
289 effect (with random intercepts), and focal personality, group personality and trial number as
290 fixed effects. Initially, full models were constructed using all main effects and their full
291 interactions. Models were reduced with step-wise removal of non-significant terms and

292 comparisons of BIC (using the nlme package; Pinheiro et al., 2016) until a minimum
293 adequate model (MAM) was developed (Table 1); p values for individual terms in the final
294 models were then obtained through a Likelihood Ratio Test procedure, comparing the model
295 with the term to the MAM under a Chi-squared distribution. Assumptions of normality and
296 heteroscedasticity were checked by plotting the residuals.

297

298 *Approach latency* was subject to strong floor- and ceiling-effects and analysis using the
299 above method resulted in an error structure which indicated the data did not meet the
300 assumptions of these models. Modelling using the above approach was therefore
301 inappropriate for these data. Instead, a binomial logit regression model was used to determine
302 whether there was any effect of either the original personality of the focal fish or the
303 population personality, or their interaction, on whether individuals changed their boldness. A
304 change in boldness was considered to be a change in *approach latency* that crossed the
305 selection criterion boundary e.g. a change of *approach latency* from 10s to 150s was not
306 considered a change in boldness, since both fall within the definition of ‘bold’, but a change
307 from 10s to 200s was considered a change since the upper limit for bold behaviour was 180s.
308 As per previous models, a model reduction approach was taken, removing non-significant
309 terms in the model and comparing BIC between models (using the nlme package; Pinheiro et
310 al., 2016) to generate a MAM (Table 1).

311

312 **Table 1:** Full and Minimum Adequate Models (MAM) and BIC for each behavioural
313 response variable used in analyses. Parameters are abbreviated as pop (boldness of the
314 population into which the focal fish was placed: bold or shy), foc (boldness of the focal fish:
315 bold or shy) and trial (behavioural trial before or after the focal fish spent one week with the

316 population fish), along with an error term indicating repeated measurements on individual
 317 fish (id).

Model Parameters		BIC
<i>Passive Duration (s)</i>		
Linear Mixed Effects Model (lmer)		
Full	pop × foc × trial + (1 id)	856.97
MAM	foc × trial + (1 id)	810.11
<i>Passive Frequency</i>		
Generalized Linear Mixed Effects Model (glmer)		
Full	pop × foc × trial + (1 id)	524.14
MAM	pop + foc + trial + (pop × trial) + (foc × trial) + (1 id)	518.16
<i>Change in boldness</i>		
Binary Logistic model (glm)		
Full	pop × foc	41.00
MAM	pop + foc	39.55

318

319

320 **3. Results**

321

322 *3.1 Initial Behaviour and Justification of Selection Criterion*

323

324 The Results from this study are comprised from a total of $n=70$ fish, involved either within
 325 the populations ($n=36$) or as focal fish ($n=34$). Personality descriptors of focal fish were
 326 defined by *approach latency*, with *a priori* definitions of bold fish approaching to within 5cm
 327 of the novel object within 180s and shy fish approaching after 300s or not at all. Here fish
 328 exhibited a similar distribution: in the first trial, bold focal fish approached the object very
 329 quickly (mean ± SE *approach latency* for bold fish = 42.1 ± 12.5 s) whereas most (18 of 21)
 330 shy fish did not approach the object at all (Figure 2a). No fish exhibited an *approach latency*
 331 between 180 – 300s. Fish selected for boldness on this criterion also exhibited differences in

332 activity levels: bold fish were initially less passive than shy fish ($W=72$, $p=0.023$; Figure 2b).
333 Likewise, bold fish more frequently switched between passive and active behaviour than shy
334 fish ($W=198.5$, $p=0.029$; Figure 2c). These data therefore justify the selection criterion and
335 binning of fish into bold or shy categories.

336

337 *3.2 Physiology*

338

339 Irrespective of the behavioural type of the population that focal fish were placed into
340 ($F_{1,30}=0.08$, $p=0.78$), bold trout consistently had significantly lower post-stress plasma
341 cortisol concentrations than shy trout (mean \pm SE = 36.18 ± 5.93 ng ml⁻¹ for bold and
342 89.82 ± 11.40 ng ml⁻¹ for shy; $F_{1,30}=7.92$, $p=0.009$; Figure 2). The interaction of personality
343 and population was not significant ($F_{1,30}=0.05$, $p=0.83$). Specific growth rate did not differ
344 between any treatment groups; see Supplementary Material for further information.

345

346 *3.3 Behavioural Change*


347

348 *Passive duration* differed between trials dependent on the personality of the focal fish
349 ($\chi^2_1=6.60$, $p=0.010$). Bold fish in the initial trial were, on average, the least passive; however,
350 after the trial bold focal fish were at least as passive as shy fish, which did not appear to
351 change in this behaviour across the two trials (Figure 2b). Frequency of passive behaviour
352 also differed between trials, with the change in frequency dependent on both the personality
353 of the group ($\chi^2_1=18.03$, $p<0.0005$) and of the focal individual ($\chi^2_1=5.72$, $p=0.0168$), but
354 there was no significant interaction between all three parameters. Initially bold focal fish
355 switched between active and passive behaviours most frequently; both bold and shy focal fish
356 reduced the frequency of switching behaviours in the second trial (Figure 2c). However, in

357 the second trial fish which had spent time in a bold population tended to switch behaviour
 358 more frequently than those which had spent time in the shy population (Figure 2d).

359

360




361

362 **Figure 2:** Behaviour of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, before (white boxes) and after
 363 (grey boxes) a week spent with a population of either bold or shy trout (where boldness was
 364 measured as latency to approach within 5cm of a novel object, and where bold fish
 365 approached in <180s and shy fish approached in >300s or not at all). Figures indicate
 366 different measures of behaviour: (a) latency to approach to within 5cm of a novel object (s)
 367 for bold and shy focal fish; (b) duration of passive behaviour (s) of bold and shy focal fish;
 368 and frequency of changing between passive and active behaviour (see text for details)

369 between (c) bold and shy focal fish and (d) comparisons of all focal fish compared between
370 populations. For all boxes: line=median, box=interquartile range, whiskers indicate extent of
371 data $<1.5 \times \text{IQR}$, dots=outliers (greater than $1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ outside the box).

372

373



374

375 **Figure 3:** Mean (\pm SE) plasma cortisol concentrations in bold (white) and shy (grey) rainbow
376 trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, 15 min after an emersion stress. Individual trout were placed
377 into a group of either nine bold (Bold Population) or nine shy conspecifics (Shy Population)
378 for one week before sampling. Groups which do not share a common lower case letter were
379 significantly different ($p < 0.05$). $n = 5, 8$ for bold trout and $n = 11, 10$ for shy trout in a bold and
380 shy population respectively.

381

382 Initially-bold fish were more likely to change their boldness than shy fish ($z_2=-3.08$, $p=0.002$;
383 Figure 2a): 77% of initially bold fish changed their behaviour towards either an intermediate
384 or shy type, whereas only 14% of initially shy focal fish changed behaviour. There was,
385 however, no effect of the personality of the population in determining whether focal fish
386 changed boldness ($z_2=-1.36$, $p=0.175$).

387

388 **Discussion**

389

390 Plasticity of behaviour and coping style allows individuals flexibility to deal with rapid
391 changes in environment. Here, we show that trout tended towards a shy behavioural strategy
392 after time spent with a population of conspecifics, regardless of their original personality or
393 that of the population with which they cohabited. Of significant interest, however, was that
394 stress physiology reflected the original personality type of the focal fish rather than the
395 personality after removal from the population: initially-bold fish exhibited lower plasma
396 cortisol concentrations than initially-shy trout regardless of any behavioural change.

397

398 *4.1 Physiology*

399

400 Post-stress cortisol profiles differed between initially bold or shy focal fish, regardless of any
401 change in behavioural profile. The link between HPI reactivity and boldness is well-
402 established (Koolhaas et al., 1999; Øverli et al., 2005) yet studies are beginning to highlight
403 occasions where this link is disrupted, either through behavioural inconsistency or a
404 combined behavioural and physiological inconsistency over time under certain conditions
405 (e.g. Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2008; Vaz-Serrano et al., 2011; Boulton et al., 2015). We took only
406 one blood sample, and thus could only detect stress-induced cortisol secretion at the end of

407 the experiment rather than quantify temporal changes (an issue that may have been resolved
408 by using larger fish); however, our data imply that whilst boldness may change under social
409 conditions in rainbow trout, the underlying physiological components reflect an innate
410 behavioural type (i.e. bold or shy). Thus whilst coping styles may provide an excellent model
411 of the relationship between personality traits and underlying physiological mechanisms,
412 particularly among rats and mice (Koolhaas et al., 1999), emerging evidence indicates that
413 this association may be lost during development (Vaz-Serrano et al., 2011), periods of stress
414 (Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2008; Boulton et al., 2015), or because the relationship is only evident
415 under certain contexts (Øverli et al., 2007; Castanheira et al., 2016).

416

417 Recent studies have focused on the mechanisms underlying differences in stress physiology
418 and personality. For instance, Carere et al. (2010) highlight three proximal explanations for
419 the relationship between stress physiology and personality: (1) stress physiology determines
420 behaviour, (2) additional factors underlie both physiology or behaviour, or (3) behaviour
421 determines physiology. However, a correlation between between stress physiology and
422 personality (potentially due to pleiotropy) may be more likely than a direct cause-effect
423 relationship (Carere et al., 2010). Indeed, greater plasticity in personality than physiology
424 (e.g. Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2008) may indicate one or more additional, unmeasured, factor(s), to
425 explain the decoupling between behaviour and physiology. However, what should also be
426 considered are the broad suite of hormones, proteins and pathway interactions which exert
427 some control over the HPI axis (and thus cortisol secretion) and the roles that they might also
428 have in the control of behaviour. For instance, corticotropin releasing factor initiates the HPI
429 axis by binding to receptors in the pituitary, but is also implicated in control of appetite
430 (Bernier & Craig, 2005), aggression (Backström et al., 2011) and locomotor activity
431 (Clements et al., 2002). Also, serotonin influences agonistic interactions (LePage et al., 2005)

432 but has roles in regulation of adrenocorticotrophic hormone and cortisol secretion and is likely
433 regulated itself by corticosteroids (Dinan, 1996; Kreke & Dietrich, 2008). Such direct
434 interactions between elements of the HPI axis and behaviour are numerous, and suggest that
435 if behaviour can change whilst HPI reactivity remains static further underlying mechanisms
436 mediating the relationship are likely to be present and require further study. Importantly,
437 these data have potential implications for how individuals cope with stress whilst
438 simultaneously interacting, often competitively, with an established group of conspecifics.

439

440 *4.2 Behavioural flexibility*

441

442 Trout adjusted levels of neophobia and activity a suite of behaviours when placed into a
443 population of conspecifics. However, the change was largely independent of the population-
444 level behaviour and, instead, was associated with the original personality of the focal fish: the
445 implication is that bold and shy trout may react to a population differently. Furthermore, this
446 would suggest that behavioural change under these conditions is not driven by a requirement
447 for social cohesiveness: behavioural homogeneity within a group increases information
448 sharing, for instance, and may limit opportunities for predators to single out potential prey in
449 an extension of the oddity effect (which usually applies to how different individuals look
450 compared to their group rather than how they behave; Krause & Ruxton, 2002). Thus other
451 factors may contribute to observed behavioural changes, though further studies should
452 investigate how behaviour changes over various time scales within, and after removal from,
453 the population.

454

455 Particularly among animals which form dominance hierarchies (Winberg & LePage, 1998;
456 Sneddon et al., 2005) entry into a group may provide a distinct challenge for a newcomer, as

457 the hierarchy must be resettled, often through agonistic interactions (e.g. trout; Barnard &
458 Burk, 1979), and where the new member may be the focus of aggression (Johnsson, 1997;
459 Höjesjö et al., 1998). Effects of prior residency (e.g. Deverill et al., 1999) and experience
460 within the group naturally provide a competitive advantage for group members over new
461 entrants, even moreso than the experience of winning a contest (Kim & Zuk, 2000).
462 Furthermore, group members encountering a new individual may only need to resolve this
463 single contest whereas the new member will likely be required to resolve contests against all
464 the members of the group which, coupled with transport between tanks, will be extremely
465 stressful, particularly if the focal fish is inherently aggressive. Thus new members may need
466 to utilise, or be coerced into utilising, a subordinate or shy strategy (Huntingford, 1976;
467 Sundström et al., 2004; Bell, 2005) to accommodate themselves within the group (Øverli et
468 al., 2004). Indeed, dominant individuals tend to exert a behavioural influence rather than
469 allow group behaviour to be defined by consensus (Sih & Watters, 2005; Magnhagen &
470 Bunnefeld, 2009).

471

472 An alternative explanation for differences in behavioural change between bold and shy focal
473 fish may derive from known differences in cognitive ability between fish, where
474 bold/proactive individuals tend to have greater learning ability than and shy/reactive fish
475 (Sneddon et al., 2003; Moreira et al., 2004). Such differences in learning ability also manifest
476 within social contexts (Magnhagen & Staffan, 2003). Thus, if shy fish take longer to learn
477 than bold fish, then any change in behaviour may not have occurred within the timespan of
478 this experiment. Furthermore, the duration such changes last after removal from the group
479 may also differ; bold animals retain responses to a conditioned stimulus for longer (Moreira
480 et al., 2004), and this may impact on how these fish respond in the future both in a social
481 context but, potentially, to unrelated environmental stimuli.

482

483 Behavioural change (in bold focal fish) or lack of change (in shy fish) was not ubiquitous.
484 Some bold fish (approximately 20-25%) remained bold, possibly because these fish were
485 particularly aggressive or large relative to the population into which they were placed and
486 thus able to compete successfully under difficult conditions. Unfortunately, no direct
487 measurements of the behaviour of focal fish within the population tank were made, only
488 observations of behaviour during regular husbandry procedures, nor were measurements of
489 the size of population fish recorded. Shy fish can become bolder after watching other fish or
490 winning competitive interactions (Frost et al., 2007). Whether individuals changed in
491 behaviour may depend upon the degree with which they observed or directly interacted with
492 other fish in the group. We did not measure behaviour or behavioural change within the
493 population itself and thus the mechanisms of any behavioural change within this study are
494 difficult to explain, but are an important consideration for future studies. Furthermore,
495 addressing whether the population exhibited the expected bold or shy personalities as a group
496 for the duration of the experiment should be measured. We chose to use the same populations
497 throughout to reduce the number of fish used in the experiment for ethical reasons and to
498 ensure each focal individual had the same experience rather than each individual being
499 presented with a different group which may have confounded our results; however, this is
500 certainly a factor of interest.

501

502 *4.4 Conclusions and implications*

503

504 Our data support emerging evidence that the relationship between stress physiology and
505 personality, as accepted through coping style theory, can become decoupled. Whilst the
506 change in behaviour observed, principally in bold focal fish becoming shyer, has implications

507 for our understanding of how fish may integrate into social groups, that stress responses were
508 linked to individuals' initial personality may indicate alternative and unexplored factors link
509 physiology and behaviour and mediate the personality change within this particular context.
510 Furthermore, these data may also help us improve the social environment and integration for
511 fish held in captivity, particularly in compliance with regulations on use of animals in
512 scientific research to ensure social animals are housed appropriately.

513

514 **Acknowledgments**

515 JT was supported by a NERC CASE PhD studentship [NE/F008120/1] supervised by LS, PW
516 and TGP. LS, PW and TGP are grateful for a research grant from the Leverhulme Trust. We
517 are grateful to Helen Evans, Lisa Olohan and Gregor Govan for technical assistance; and also
518 to Jon Banks and Scott Weiss for the development of custom-built cameras and behavioural
519 analysis software, respectively. We would also like to thank two anonymous reviewers for
520 their feedback on the manuscript, and to the editor, Mark Briffa, for advice on statistical
521 procedures.

522

523 **References**

524

- 525 ADRIAENSSENS, B. & JOHANSSON, J. I. (2012). Natural selection, plasticity and the emergence
526 of a behavioural syndrome in the wild. — *Ecology Letters* 16, 47-55.
- 527 BACKSTRÖM, T., SCHJOLDEN, J., ØVERLI, Ø., THÖRNQVIST, P.-O. & WINBERG, S. (2011).
528 Stress effects on AVT and CRF systems in two strains of rainbow trout
529 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) divergent in stress responsiveness. — *Hormones and*
530 *Behavior* 59, 180-186.

531 BARNARD, C. J. & BURK, T. (1979). Dominance hierarchies and the evolution of "individual
532 recognition". — *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 81, 65-73.

533 BATES, D., MAECHLER, M., BOLKER, B. & WALKER, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects
534 Models Using lme4. — *Journal of Statistical Software* 67, 1-48.

535 BEITINGER, T. L. (1990). Behavioral reactions for the assessment of stress in fishes. —
536 *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 16, 495-528.

537 BELL, A. M. (2005). Behavioural differences between individuals and two populations of
538 stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). — *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18, 464-473.

539 BERNIER, N. J. & CRAIG, P. M. (2005). CRF-related peptides contribute to stress response and
540 regulation of appetite in hypoxic rainbow trout. — *American Journal of Physiology:
541 Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 289, R982-R990.

542 BOULTON, K., COUTO, E., GRIMMER, A. J., EARLEY, R. L., CANARIO, A. V. M., WILSON, A. J.
543 & WALLING, C. A. (2015). How integrated are behavioral and endocrine stress
544 response traits? A repeated measures approach to testing the stress-coping style model.
545 — *Ecology and Evolution* 5, 618-633.

546 BROWN, C., BURGESS, F. & BRAITHWAITE, V. A. (2007). Heritable and experiential effects on
547 boldness in a tropical poeciliid. — *Behavioural Ecology & Sociobiology* 62, 237-243.

548 CARERE, C., CARAMASCHI, D. & FAWCETT, T. W. (2010). Covariation between personalities
549 and individual differences in coping with stress: Converging evidence and hypotheses.
550 — *Current Zoology* 56, 728-740.

551 CASTANHEIRA, M. F., CERQUEIRA, M., MILLOT, S., GONÇALVES, R. A., OLIVEIRA, C. C. V.,
552 CONCEIÇÃO, L. E. C. & MARTINS, C. I. M. (2016). Are personality traits consistent in
553 fish? - The influence of social context. — *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 178,
554 96-101.

555 CLEMENTS, S., SCHRECK, C. B., LARSEN, D. A. & DICKHOFF, W. W. (2002). Central
556 administration of corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulates locomotor activity in
557 juvenile chinook salmon. — *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 125, 319-327.

558 COCKREM, J. F. (2007). Stress, corticosterone responses and avian personalities. — *Journal of*
559 *Ornithology* 148, S169-S178.

560 DEVERILL, J. I., ADAMS, C. E. & BEAN, C. W. (1999). Prior residence, aggression and
561 territory acquisition in hatchery-reared and wild brown trout. — *Journal of Fish*
562 *Biology* 55, 868-875.

563 DEWITT, T. J., SIH, A. & WILSON, D. S. (1998). Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. —
564 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 13, 77-81.

565 DINAN, T. G. (1996). Serotonin and the regulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis
566 function. — *Life Sciences* 58, 1683-1694.

567 DINGEMANSE, N. J., KAZEM, A. J. N., RÉALE, D. & WRIGHT, J. (2010). Behavioural reaction
568 norms: where animal personality meets individual plasticity. — *Trends in Ecology*
569 *and Evolution* 25, 81-89.

570 FAVATI, A., LEIMAR, O., RADESÄTER, T. & LØVLIE, H. (2014). Social status and personality:
571 stability in social state can promote consistency of behavioural responses. —
572 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 281, 20132531.

573 FROST, A. J., WINROW-GIFFEN, A., ASHLEY, P. J. & SNEDDON, L. U. (2007). Plasticity in
574 animal personality traits: does prior experience alter the degree of boldness? —
575 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 274, 333-339.

576 GILMOUR, K. M., DIBATTISTA, J. D. & THOMAS, J. B. (2005). Physiological causes and
577 consequences of social status in salmonid fish. — *Integrative and Comparative*
578 *Biology* 45, 263-273.

579 HARCOURT, J. L., SWEETMAN, G., JOHNSTONE, R. A. & MANICA, A. (2009). Personality
580 counts: the effect of boldness on shoal choice in three-spined sticklebacks. — *Animal*
581 *Behaviour* 77, 1501-1505.

582 HÖJESJÖ, J., JOHNSSON, J. I., PETERSSON, E. & JÄRVI, T. (1998). The importance of being
583 familiar: individual recognition and social behavior in sea trout (*Salmo trutta*). —
584 *Behavioral Ecology* 9, 445-451.

585 HUNTINGFORD, F. & ADAMS, C. (2005). Behavioural syndromes in farmed fish: implications
586 for production and welfare. — *Behaviour* 142, 1207-1221.

587 HUNTINGFORD, F. A. (1976). The relationship between anti-predator behaviour and
588 aggression among conspecifics in the three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus*
589 *aculeatus*. — *Animal Behaviour* 24, 245-260.

590 JOHNSSON, J. I. (1997). Individual recognition affects aggression and dominance relations in
591 rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. — *Ethology* 103, 267-282.

592 JOHNSSON, J. I., SERNLAND, E. & BLIXT, M. (2001). Sex-specific aggression and antipredator
593 behaviour in young brown trout. — *Ethology* 107, 587-599.

594 JÖNSSON, E., JOHNSSON, J. R. I. & BJÖRNSSON, B. T. (1996). Growth hormone increases
595 predation exposure of rainbow trout. — *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 263, 647-
596 651.

597 KIM, T. & ZUK, M. (2000). The effects of age and previous experience on social rank in
598 female red junglefowl, *Gallus gallus spadiceus*. — *Animal Behaviour* 60, 239-244.

599 KOOLHAAS, J. M., DE BOER, S. F., COPPENS, C. M. & BUWALDA, B. (2010).
600 Neuroendocrinology of coping styles: Towards understanding the biology of
601 individual variation. — *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* 31, 307-321.

602 KOOLHAAS, J. M., KORTE, S. M., DE BOER, S. F., VAN DER VEGT, B. J., VAN REENEN, C. G.,
603 HOPSTER, H., DE JONG, I. C., RUIS, M. A. W. & BLOKHUIS, H. J. (1999). Coping

604 styles in animals: Current status in behavior and stress-physiology. — *Neuroscience*
605 and *Biobehavioral Reviews* 23, 925-935.

606 KRAUSE, J. & RUXTON, G. D. (2002). *Living in Groups*. — Oxford University Press, Oxford.

607 KREKE, N. & DIETRICH, D. R. (2008). Physiological endpoints for potential SSRI interactions
608 in fish. — *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 37, 215-247.

609 LEBLOND, C. & REEBS, S. G. (2006). Individual leadership and boldness in shoals of golden
610 shiners (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*). — *Behaviour* 143, 1263-1280.

611 LEPAGE, O., LARSON, E. T., MAYER, I. & WINBERG, S. (2005). Serotonin, but not melatonin,
612 plays a role in shaping dominant-subordinate relationships and aggression in rainbow
613 trout. — *Hormones and Behavior* 48, 233-242.

614 LIMA, S. L. & DILL, L. M. (1990). Behavioral decisions made under the risk of predation: a
615 review and prospectus. — *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68, 619-640.

616 MAGNHAGEN, C. (2012). Personalities in a crowd: What shapes the behaviour of Eurasian
617 perch and other shoaling fishes? — *Current Zoology* 58, 35-44.

618 MAGNHAGEN, C. & BUNNEFELD, N. (2009). Express your personality or go along with the
619 group: what determines the behaviour of shoaling perch? — *Proceedings of the Royal*
620 *Society B* 276, 3369-3374.

621 MAGNHAGEN, C. & STAFFAN, F. (2003). Social learning in young-of-the-year perch
622 encountering a novel food type. — *Journal of Fish Biology* 63, 824-829.

623 MOREIRA, P. S. A., PULMAN, K. G. T. & POTTINGER, T. G. (2004). Extinction of a
624 conditioned response in rainbow trout selected for high or low responsiveness to
625 stress. — *Hormones and Behavior* 46, 450-457.

626 OLSEN, E. M. & VØLLESTAD, L. A. (2001). An evaluation of visible implant elastomer for
627 marking age-0 brown trout. — *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 21,
628 967-970.

629 ØVERLI, Ø., KORZAN, W. J., LARSON, E. T., WINBERG, S., LEPAGE, O., POTTINGER, T. G.,
630 RENNER, K. J. & SUMMERS, C. H. (2004). Behavioral and neuroendocrine correlates
631 of displaced aggression in trout. — *Hormones and Behavior* 45, 324-329.

632 ØVERLI, Ø., SØRENSEN, C., PULMAN, K. G. T., POTTINGER, T. G., KORZAN, W., SUMMERS, C.
633 H. & NILSSON, G. E. (2007). Evolutionary background for stress-coping styles:
634 relationships between physiological, behavioral, and cognitive traits in non-
635 mammalian vertebrates. — *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 31, 396-412.

636 ØVERLI, Ø., WINBERG, S. & POTTINGER, T. G. (2005). Behavioral and neuroendocrine
637 correlates of selection for stress responsiveness in rainbow trout - a review. —
638 *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 45, 463-474.

639 PICKERING, A. D. & POTTINGER, T. G. (1983). Seasonal and diel changes in plasma cortisol
640 levels of the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L. — *General and Comparative Endocrinology*
641 49, 232-239.

642 —. (1989). Stress responses and disease resistance in salmonid fish - effects of chronic
643 elevation of plasma-cortisol. — *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 7, 253-258.

644 PINHEIRO, J., BATES, D., DEBROY, S., SARKAR, D. & TEAM, R. C. (2016). Linear and
645 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. — In.

646 POTTINGER, T. G. & CARRICK, T. R. (2001). Stress responsiveness affects dominant-
647 subordinate relationships in rainbow trout. — *Hormones and Behavior* 40, 419-427.

648 RUIZ-GOMEZ, M. D. L., HUNTINGFORD, F. A., ØVERLI, Ø., THORNQVIST, P.-O. & HÖGLUND, E.
649 (2011). Response to environmental change in rainbow trout selected for divergent
650 stress coping styles. — *Physiology & Behavior* 102, 317-322.

651 RUIZ-GOMEZ, M. D. L., KITTILSEN, S., HÖGLUND, E., HUNTINGFORD, F. A., SØRENSEN, C.,
652 POTTINGER, T. G., BAKKEN, M., WINBERG, S., KORZAN, W. J. & ØVERLI, Ø. (2008).

653 Behavioral plasticity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with divergent coping
654 styles: when doves become hawks. — *Hormones and Behavior* 54, 534-538.

655 SCHJOLDEN, J., BACKSTRÖM, T., PULMAN, K. G. T., POTTINGER, T. G. & WINBERG, S. (2005).
656 Divergence in behavioural responses to stress in two strains of rainbow trout
657 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with contrasting stress responsiveness. — *Hormones and*
658 *Behavior* 48, 537-544.

659 SIH, A., BELL, A. & JOHNSON, J. C. (2004). Behavioral syndromes: an ecological and
660 evolutionary overview. — *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 19, 372-378.

661 SIH, A. & WATTERS, J. V. (2005). The mix matters: behavioural types and group dynamics in
662 water striders. — *Behaviour* 142, 1417-1431.

663 SNEDDON, L. U. (2003). The bold and the shy: individual differences in rainbow trout. —
664 *Journal of Fish Biology* 62, 971-975.

665 SNEDDON, L. U., BRAITHWAITE, V. A. & GENTLE, M. J. (2003). Novel object test: examining
666 nociception and fear in the rainbow trout. — *Journal of Pain* 4, 431-440.

667 SNEDDON, L. U., MARGARETO, J. & COSSINS, A. R. (2005). The use of transcriptomics to
668 address questions in behaviour: Production of a suppression subtractive hybridisation
669 library from dominance hierarchies of rainbow trout. — *Physiological and*
670 *Biochemical Zoology* 75, 695-705.

671 SUNDSTRÖM, L. F., PETERSSON, E., HÖJESJÖ, J., JOHNSON, J. I. & JÄRVI, T. (2004). Hatchery
672 selection promotes boldness in newly hatched brown trout (*Salmo trutta*):
673 implications for dominance. — *Behavioral Ecology* 15, 192-198.

674 THOMSON, J. S., WATTS, P. C., POTTINGER, T. G. & SNEDDON, L. U. (2011). Physiological
675 and genetic correlates of boldness: Characterising the mechanisms of behavioural
676 variation in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. — *Hormones and Behavior* 59, 67-
677 74.

678 —. (2012). Plasticity of boldness in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: do hunger and
679 predation influence risk-taking behaviour? — *Hormones and Behavior* 61, 750-757.


680 VAN OERS, K., KLUNDER, M. & DRENT, P. J. (2005). Context dependence of personalities:
681 Risk-taking behavior in a social and a nonsocial situation. — *Behavioral Ecology* 16,
682 716-723.

683 VAZ-SERRANO, J., RUIZ-GOMEZ, M. D. L., GJØEN, H.-M., SKOV, P. V., HUNTINGFORD, F. A.,
684 ØVERLI, Ø. & HÖGLUND, E. (2011). Consistent boldness behaviour in early emerging
685 fry of domesticated Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Decoupling of behavioural and
686 physiological traits of the proactive stress coping style. — *Physiology & Behavior*
687 103, 359-364.

688 WINBERG, S. & LEPAGE, O. (1998). Elevation of brain 5-HT activity, POMC expression, and
689 plasma cortisol in socially subordinate rainbow trout. — *American Journal of*
690 *Physiology: Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 274, 645-654.

691 ZUUR, A. F., IENO, E. N., WALKER, N. J., SAVELIEV, A. A. & SMITH, G. M. (2009). *Mixed*
692 *Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. — Springer, New York.

693



694

695 **Figure S1:** Mean (\pm SE) specific growth rate (G) of bold (white) and shy (grey) rainbow trout,
 696 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, placed for one week into a population of nine bold or shy trout. $n = 5,8$
 697 for bold trout and $n = 10,10$ for shy trout in a bold and shy population respectively.